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## EXECUTIVE BOARD

16 NOVEMBER 2020

## PRESENT: Councillor E. Dole (Chair)

## Councillors:

C.A. Campbell, G. Davies, H.A.L. Evans, P.M. Hughes, P. Hughes-Griffiths, D.M. Jenkins, L.M. Stephens and J. Tremlett.

## Also in attendance:

Councillor D.M. Cundy.

## The following Officers were in attendance:

W. Walters, Chief Executive;
C. Moore, Director of Corporate Services;
G. Morgans, Director of Education \& Children's Services;
R. Mullen, Director of Environment;
L.R. Jones, Head of Administration and Law;
P.R. Thomas, Assistant Chief Executive (People Management \& Performance);
D. Hockenhull, Marketing and Media Manager
S. Rees, Simultaneous Translator;
L. Jenkins, Executive Board Support Officer;
R. Lloyd, Democratic Services Officer;
E. Bryer, Democratic Services Officer;
J. Corner, Technical Officer;
J. Owen, Democratic Services Officer.

Virtual Meeting: - 10:00am - 10:40am

## 1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE.

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Linda Davies Evans, - Executive Board Member for Housing and Mr Jake Morgan - Director of Communities.
2. DECLARATIONS OF PERSONAL INTEREST.

| Councillor | Minute Number | Nature of Interest |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cefin Campbell | 6 - Countryside Access <br> Charging Policy - Public Path <br> Furniture | A public footpath runs <br> through land that he owns. |

3. TO SIGN AS A CORRECT RECORD THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE BOARD HELD ON THE $2^{\text {ND }}$ NOVEMBER, 2020

UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting of the Executive Board held on the $2^{\text {nd }}$ November, 2020 be signed as a correct record.

## 4. QUESTIONS ON NOTICE BY MEMBERS

The Chair advised that no questions on notice had been submitted by members.

## 5. PUBLIC QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

The Chair advised that no public questions had been received.

## 6. COUNTRYSIDE ACCESS CHARGING POLICY - PUBLIC PATH FURNITURE

[Councillor Cefin Campbell, having earlier declared an interest in this item left the meeting and did not partake in the discussion or the vote thereof].

The Board considered a report providing details of a charging policy that had been developed by the Countryside Access service to introduce a means of recovering a portion of current expenditure on supplying and installing public path furniture on behalf of landowners.

The report highlighted that Section 146 of the Highways Act 1980 requires any stile or gate across a footpath, bridleway, or restricted byway to be maintained by the landowner.

The Board noted that the proposed policy had been carefully considered to introduce an appropriate and fair degree of cost recovery for furniture supply and installation by the Authority whilst formalising concessions in certain circumstances. In addition, the policy also served to provide a clear set of rules for furniture provision that would inform landowners of their statutory responsibilities and would assist Countryside Access staff in offering landowner support that was consistent, fair and reasonable.

UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to approve the adoption of a Charging Policy for the supply and installation of public path furniture across the public rights of way network.

## 7. TOWY COMMUNITY CHURCH - XCEL BOWL

The Board considered a report which proposed to support an application received the Xcel Bowl Centre and the charitable arms of Towy Community Church to enable them to a continue to deliver the services provided to the residents of Carmarthenshire and neighbouring areas. The report sought the Executive Board's approval for a commitment of funding to be made available as a grant, to ensure the longer-term financial sustainability of the Xcel Bowls and associated projects.

The Board acknowledged that the Xcel Bowl and associated projects provided vital projects including a Foodbank, Community Shop, Furniture Recycling and had worked with over 50 active referral agencies in and around Carmarthen including the Council to meet the needs of disadvantaged families.

The Board recognised that the Covid-19 pandemic and the associated lockdown would have had a significant impact on many other projects and suggested that it would be prudent to develop a criteria in preparation for future requests for support.

Carmarthenshire

## UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to APPROVE:

## 7.1 that a commitment of $£ 50,000$ be given to Towy Community Church to support the Xcel Bowl and associated projects allowing them to continue to trade. This would be funded from departmental reserves. The funding would be given as a grant which will be drawn upon as required;

7.2 that should Towy Community Church require additional funds to support their continued operation, an increase to their existing loan of up to $£ 50,000$ would be allowable.

## 8. COUNCIL'S REVENUE BUDGET MONITORING REPORT

The Executive Board considered the revenue budget monitoring report which provided the latest budgetary position as at $31^{\text {st }}$ August 2020, in respect of 2020/2021. The budget position recognised the substantial additional pressures that had been placed on the Authority in responding to the Covid 19 pandemic.

Overall, the monitoring report forecasted an end of year overspend of $£ 3,971 \mathrm{k}$ on the Authority's net revenue budget with an overspend at departmental level of $£ 4,971 \mathrm{k}$. The Executive Board noted that the unprecedented position was due to a combination of additional irrecoverable costs due to the Covid-19 activity, foregone income from services which had closed during the national lockdown and continued to experience reduced revenue, which may not be fully recompensed by Welsh Government (WG) and planned savings proposals which have been undeliverable due to the pandemic.

The report confirmed that the Authority was submitting a monthly hardship claim to Welsh Government for additional Covid-19 expenditure. The majority of costs were being refunded, though some were deemed ineligible, particularly linked to local decisions.

In light of the significant forecasted overspends at departmental level, Chief Officers and Heads of Service were asked to critically review options available to them to limit the forecasted overspend of budgets, whilst recognising the pressure Covid-19 had placed on the Authority's overall budget.

The Executive Board Member for Resources, in response to a query confirmed that the Welsh government were currently responding to address the issues arising within the leisure, culture and theatre sectors.

The Executive Board expressed their sincere thanks to the staff within the Corporate Services department for adapting to the new way of working throughout the pandemic and all their hard work in preparing the finances and managing Council expenditure.
shire
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## UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED that:

8.1 The Budget Monitoring report be received, and consideration given to the budgetary position and appropriate corrective action.

### 8.2 Chief Officers and Heads of Service critically review options available to them to limit the forecasted overspend of budgets, whilst recognising the pressure Covid-19 has placed on the Authority's overall budget.

## 9. CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2020/21 UPDATE

The Executive Board considered a report which provided an update of the latest budgetary position for the 2020/21 capital programme as at the $31^{\text {st }}$ August, 2020 and detailed a set of virements which sought Executive Board approval.

It was reported that departmentally, a net spend of $£ 63,428 \mathrm{~K}$ was forecasted compared with a working net budget of $£ 114,264 \mathrm{~K}$ giving a $-£ 50,836 \mathrm{~K}$ variance.

The report highlighted the following additional Schemes, which had received direct funding, to the Capital Programme:-

- Private Housing;
- Flying Start;
- County Museum;
- Cross Hands East - Plot 3 and
- Re-fit Cymru.

UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED that:
9.1 The capital programme update report be received.
9.2 The virement detailed in the report be approved.
10. ANY OTHER ITEMS OF BUSINESS

The Chair advised that there were no items of urgent business.

CHAIR
DATE

## Agenda Item 6

## Executive Board

## 30 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ November 2020

## REVENUE BUDGET OUTLOOK <br> 2021/22 to 2023/24

## Recommendations / key decisions required:

1. The Executive Board receives the initial budget outlook and considers the level of Council Tax rises and the level of school efficiencies that it considers appropriate for developing the Medium Term Financial Plan;
2. Executive Board endorse the proposed approach to identifying the required savings
3. Executive Board note the proposed approach to the budget consultation

## Reasons:

To provide the Executive Board with an overview of the budget issues and outlook for the forthcoming years.

Relevant Scrutiny Committee to be consulted NA
Exec Board Decision Required YES
Council Decision Required NO

| EXECUTIVE BOARD MEMBER PORTFOLIO HOLDER:- Cllr. David Jenkins |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corporate Services Directorate | Designations: | Tel No. 01267224121 |
| Name of Director: Mr C Moore | Director of Corporate Services | E Mail Addresses: CMoore@carmarthenshire.gov.uk |
| Report Author: Randal Hemingway | Head of Financial Services | RHemingway@carmarthenshire.gov.uk |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Executive Board

30 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ November 2020

## REVENUE BUDGET OUTLOOK

2021/22 to 2023/24

The report appraises members of the current financial outlook and updates our current financial model covering the next three financial years.

The report outlines the proposals for taking forward the budget preparation for the three year period.

## IMPLICATIONS

I confirm that other than those implications which have been agreed with the appropriate Directors / Heads of Service and are referred to in detail below, there are no other implications associated with this report :

Signed: C Moore Director of Corporate Services

| Policy, Crime <br> \& Disorder <br> and <br> Equalities | Legal | Finance | ICT | Risk <br> Management <br> Issues | Staffing <br> Implications | Physical <br> Assets |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| YES | NONE | YES | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE |

## 1. Policy, Crime \& Disorder and Equalities

The budget is being prepared having regard for the Council's Corporate Strategy.

Equalities Impact Assessments will be undertaken on budget proposals in order to consider and assess the potential impact with respect to protected characteristic groups and the Welsh language. All budget proposals considered to have an impact on front line services will undergo a period of public consultation. The Equalities Impact Assessments will be further developed following consideration of possible mitigation measures to reduce the impact once the responses and findings of the budget consultation have been received.

## 2. Finance

## Council Fund

The report provides an updated view of the Budget outlook for 2021/22, together with indicative figures for the 2022/23 and 2023/24 financial years.
The financial model tabled above will be updated as and when more information becomes available, including the provisional and final settlement from Welsh Government.
Provisional settlement is expected December 2020.

## CONSULTATIONS

I confirm that the appropriate consultations have taken in place and the outcomes are as detailed below
Signed: C Moore Director of Corporate Services

## 1. Scrutiny Committee

Consultation with Scrutiny Committees will be undertaken during the budget process.
2.Local Member(s)

N/A

## 3.Community / Town Council

All budget proposals considered to have an impact on front line services will undergo a period of public consultation before the final budget is set.
4.Staff Side Representatives and other Organisations

Consultation with Staff Side Representatives and other organisations will be undertaken and results will be reported during the budget process.

| Section 100D Local Government Act, 1972 - Access to Information <br> List of Background Papers used in the preparation of this report: |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Title of Document | File Ref No. | Locations that the papers are available for public inspection |
| 2020/21 3 Year <br> Revenue Budget |  | County Hall, Carmarthen |


| REPORT OF DIRECTOR OF CORPORATE SERVICES <br> EXECUTIVE BOARD <br> $30^{\text {th }}$ November 2020 <br> REVENUE BUDGET OUTLOOK 2021/22 to 2023/24 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HEAD OF SERVICE \& DESIGNATION. <br> R Hemingway, Head of Financial Services | DIRECTORATE <br> Corporate Services | TELEPHONE NO. $01267224886$ |
| AUTHOR \& DESIGNATION <br> R Hemingway, Head of Financial Services | DIRECTORATE <br> Corporate Services | TELEPHONE NO <br> 01267224886 |

## 1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to:
1.1. Appraise members on the current financial outlook
1.2. Update our current financial model covering the next three years;
1.3. Outline proposals for taking forward the budget preparation for the three year period.

## 2. BACKGROUND

2.1. The current three year Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) was agreed by Council on $3^{\text {rd }}$ March 2020 and was based on estimates of known commitments at that time, and formulated in the context of the Welsh Government (WG) financial settlement for 2020/21, with estimates used for years 2 and 3 of the plan.
2.2. Following the sustained period of Austerity since 2010, recent years have seen a gradual shift away from this policy. The public sector pay freeze was gradually relaxed, and successive negative settlements have given way to cash increases, albeit they have been reductions in real terms once pressures are taken into acount.
2.3. This shift was was at its starkest when setting the 2020/21 budget. Although the Authority received a $£ 14 \mathrm{~m}$ increase in it's WG

settlement, the combination of $2.75 \%$ pay awards, teachers pensions cost increases above $£ 4 \mathrm{~m}$, and a higher than expected increase in the national living wage combined with other inescapable pressures to necessitate a council tax increase of $4.89 \%$ and budget reductions totalling $£ 5.1 \mathrm{~m}$ to balance the budget.
2.4. The overall financial standing of the Authority has been maintained at a prudent level. In addition to existing reserve balances earmarked for specific purposes such as insurance or commited capital schemes, the authority set aside one-off funding in a Covid-19 resetting services reserve and was able to modestly add to our Council Fund, increasing the balance to $3.0 \%$ of our net budget. In recent years we have made limited use of such reserves to support ongoing expenditure.

## 3. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

## COVID-19, BREXIT \& CSR

3.1. As with all other aspects of public life in the UK, Covid-19 will continue to dominate public sector finances over the medium term and perhaps longer. There is the immediate, current "triple-whammy" of reduced tax revenues from the economic impact of restrictions, unprecented financial support measures for citizens and businesses, and additional funding requirements for the delivery of public services, principally health and social care.
3.2. Even taking a benign assumption that a vaccine is successfully deployed across the UK in the first half of 2021, the hangover effect will be signficant. The economy and consequent tax revenues will be at a much lower base, the NHS will have a significant backlog of non-Covid-19 to get on top of, and HM Treasury will need to put in place measures to address public sector borrowing, which over 2020 is predicted to reach its highest level in peace time Britain.
3.3. The timeline is however far from clear. Whilst the outlook for public finances is undeniably worse as a result of Covid-19, there may be a gradual transition towards addressing public sector borrowing, driven by the need for public sector investment to boost economic recovery.
3.4. Brexit Trade talks, once the top daily news headline, continue but with no clear sense of an agreed deal. Should a deal not be agreed with the EU before the end of the year, economists widely predict a negative impact on UK business and prosperity.
3.5. The Westminster Government held a Comprehensive Spending Review (CSR) over the summer months, the outcome of which will now only be for one year only and whilst unavailable at the time of writing is due to be announced on $25^{\text {th }}$ November. Whilst this is a clear threat of budget reductions, it is unlikely to be a popular move to cut budgets such as health, education and local government/social care. A verbal update will be provided in the meeting.
3.6. Whatever the outcome of the CSR, it will have a direct impact on Welsh Government budgets through the Barnett Consequential. During 2020/21 WG received very substantial additional funding through this mechanism which it has deployed to support the NHS, Local Authorities, businesses and others to respond to the pandemic.
3.7. On 29 October, Welsh Local Authorities received confirmation from the Minister for Finance that WG will confirm provisional settlement data on $22^{\text {nd }}$ December. Whilst this is clearly driven by the CSR timeline, it will unquestionably compress the budget timetable more than at any time in recent history.

## FUNDING PRIORITIES

3.8. In February 2018, County Council endorsed the Executive Board's plan for Moving Forward in Carmarthenshire over the next 5 years. This document sets strategic priorities and aspirations and will underpin the key policy decisions to be taken in the development of the Medium Term Financial Plan. Consideration will need to be given to the impact which Covid-19 will inevitably have upon progress in delivering these goals.
3.9. Members will note that it is necessarily much later and more compressed that in normal budget cycles, leaving just a three week window for public consultation. Consultation activities will of course this year all take place electronically due to public health measures.

## 4. CURRENT FINANCIAL OUTLOOK

Budget development always involves assumptions which are refined as the situation evolves and information becomes clearer. However it is hard to recall such a great degree of uncertainty at such a late stage in the process. Given this, it is recommended that preparations are made based on the current MTFP parameters as set out in the budget papers agreed by Council Council in March 2020. Further details are provided in the subsequent paragraphs.


## 5. FINANCIAL MODEL

The financial model has been extended by one year and so covers the three year period up to 2023/24. It is realistically expected, however, that less progress will be made on this than under normal circumstances. During the coming months of the budget development, officers and members priorities must be the addressing the immediate challenge of balancing the 2021/22 budget. Notwithstanding this, the most important part for any financial plan or model is the underlying assumptions, the current key assumptions being:
5.1. For the purposes of our financial outlook, the base model reflects the Aggregate External Finance (AEF) increasing by $2.0 \%$ each year. Service specific grants currently account for around $£ 100$ million and it is assumed that EITHER any further reductions are contained within the AEF reduction above OR that activity/expenditure is reduced in line with grant funding.

There are no signficant planned reductions that officers are aware of, however members should note that we still have no details of the proposed "Shared Prosperity Fund" which is due to replace existing EU funding streams.
5.2. As for all Local Authorites, the treatment of delegated school budgets has a significant impact on the scale of savings proposals across every other service area. Our planning assumption is currently that schools' delegated budgets do not have a specific savings target applied. School budget outturns have been in deficit for the last two financial years, with progress to address this understandably and unavoidably impacted by Covid-19. As a consequence of validation for pay and price inflation, it is recognised this budget will grow, and that proportionally larger savings would need to be found elsewhere. Should there be new or additional pressure put on the budget, for example from a worse than planned WG settlement, schools funding would need to be reassessed.
5.3. The MTFP approved by County Council in March 2020 included substantial savings in the Education department linked to the rationalisation of primary school provision. This would not see an adverse funding outcome for delegated budgets, but is instead about capturing the financial benefit of an overall more efficient schools system and the support services behind it. As with a number of other council transformation agendas, progress has inevitably been disrupted due to Covid-19. As such these proposals are being critically assessed for scale and speed of deliverabiltiy.

5.4. The current pay settlement for NJC staff was a one year settlement covering 2020/21 at $2.75 \%$, which was the same for the majority of our teaching staff. There are pulls in both directions in national negotiations on staffing costs - on one hand the recognition and reward for the role which many have played in delivering services above and beyond at a time of great need; on the other hand there is the possible re-introduction of restraint at a time of significant private sector job losses and worsening public finances. Given these opposing forces, the financial model maintains the current assumption of $2.75 \%$ per annum. Allowances have been made with pressures funding for national living wages increases.

There are similarly opposing forces likely to influence inflation going forward. Consumer Price Indices have been below 1\% since April 2020, however the transition to new trade arrangements will bring inflationary pressures in 2021. As such assumptions are maintained at $2 \%$ for now.
5.5. The 5 year capital plan was approved by Council in March 2020, however the pandemic has highlighted the need to consider possible new investment to support the local economy, jobs and livelihoods. With this in mind it is recognised that there may be further schemes the council wishes to pursue for which either additional funding would required or members may need to consider deferral or removal of previously approved schemes.
5.6. Validation assumptions for the three years have been revisited and are currently:

|  | Financial Model |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 / 2 2}$ <br> $\%$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2} \mathbf{2 3}$ <br> $\%$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3 / 2 4}$ <br> $\%$ |
| Expenditure Inflation | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Income Inflation | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Pay Awards | 2.75 | 2.75 | 2.75 |
| Employers Pension <br> Rates | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fuel | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Energy Costs | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Capital charges | $£ 500 \mathrm{k}$ | $£ 500 \mathrm{k}$ | $£ 500 \mathrm{k}$ |

5.7. Service Pressures:


The financial model assumes growth pressures of $£ 6 \mathrm{~m}$ per annum for next year and $£ 5.5 \mathrm{~m}$ for each of the following two years.

Clearly the pandemic, and nature of ongoing public health response measures to contain it, will have a substantial impact on future expenditure for the Authority. An overarching holding assumption has been added to service pressures of $£ 1 \mathrm{~m}$. Over and above this, it is assumed for planning purpose that existing funding mechanisms from WG will remain in place. The initial growth bids for non-covid pressures submitted by departments for 2021/22 exceeds £10m and is currently being critically reviewed.

Over the MTFP period, there are likely to be additional funding requirements in respect of the City Deal projects. These include both interest costs (as it is forecast they will exceed the business rates retention deal agreed with WG) and additional staffing costs. These will be addressed within the individual business case appraisals before their commencement, and as such it is not anticipated these will impact upon 2021/22 budgets.
5.8. The modelling is based on Council Tax increases of $4.89 \%$ each year in line with the MTFP indicative figures approved in March 2020, extended to year 3 purely for planning purposes.
5.9. Based upon the above assumptions a financial model has been constructed (see table below) which highlights the impact of the proposed changes, and identifies the likely budget shortfall. Comparative figures from the current MTFP are included for information.

## Summary of Financial Model

|  | Current MTFP |  | Financial Model |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2021 / 22 \\ £^{\prime} 000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022/23 } \\ £^{\prime} 000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021 / 22 \\ £^{\prime} 000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022 / 23 \\ £^{\prime} 000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2023 / 24 \\ £^{\prime} 000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Previous Year's Budget | 371,593 | 382,147 | 371,593 | 382,147 | 393,075 |
| General Inflation | 2,462 | 2,462 | 2,462 | 2,462 | 2,632 |
| Pay Inflation | 6,688 | 6,849 | 6,688 | 6,849 | 7,037 |
| Other | 1,334 | 1,345 | 1,334 | 1,345 | 1,357 |
| Growth | 6,000 | 5,500 | 6,000 | 5,500 | 5,500 |
| Original \& approved PBB Proposals | -5,297 | -4,694 | -5,297 | -4,694 | 0 |
| Net Expenditure | 382,147 | 393,075 | 382,147 | 393,075 | 404,392 |
| Revenue Settlement | -279,643 | -285,235 | -279,643 | -285,235 | -290,940 |
| Council Tax Receipts | -102,505 | -107,840 | -102,505 | -107,840 | 113,452 |
| Shortfall | 633 | 515 | 633 | 515 | 5,208 |

5.10. Key points:
5.10.1.We are looking at requiring cumulative reductions of $£ 16 \mathrm{~m}$ over the three years.
5.10.2.Our budget, in common with all authorities will contain a number of expenditure items that are essentially 'fixed' such as our debt costs, payments to those in receipt of council tax reduction support, the cost of levies, insurance costs, external audit fees, etc. In terms of managing and addressing any reductions we need to exclude these budgets. It is proposed that the savings requirements for each of the financial years be allocated between departments as follows, according to controllable budgets:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 / 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2 / 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3 / 2 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $£^{\prime} 000$ | $£^{\prime} 000$ | $£^{\prime} 000$ |
| Chief Executive | 492 | 432 | 432 |
| Schools Delegated Budget | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Education \& Children | 1,029 | 904 | 904 |
| Communities | 2,813 | 2,471 | 2,470 |
| Corporate Services | 205 | 180 | 180 |
| Environment | 1,391 | 1,222 | 1,222 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 , 9 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 2 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 2 0 8}$ |

5.10.3.The above model, as stated above, is based upon increases to the AEF of $2 \%$ each year and council tax increases of 4.89\%.

## 6. SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

6.1. Any budget forecast has an element of risk and a large degree of uncertainty when planning over the medium term, and this is particularly so in with the current outlook.
6.2. Any changes to the assumptions will inevitably be the result of a number of factors. The following table identifies the individual impact of the main components of the budget:

| Budget element | Movement | Annual <br> Impact |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Pay inflation | $1 \%$ | $£ 2.4 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| General inflation | $1 \%$ (expenditure <br> only) | $£ 2.3 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| General inflation | $1 \%$ (income only) | $-£ 1.4 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| WG Settlement | $1 \%$ | $£ 2.8 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Specific Grants | $1 \%$ | $£ 1.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Council Tax | $1 \%$ | $£ 1.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |

6.3. The following table illustrates the scale of the impact on departmental savings targets in 2021/22 if some of the movements outlined above materialised (Tables do not reflect a cumulative effect) :
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|c|c|c|c|}\hline & \begin{array}{c}1 \% \text { change in } \\ \text { WG } \\ \text { settlement }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}1 \% \text { change } \\ \text { in Council } \\ \text { Tax } \\ \text { Increase }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { No schools } \\ \text { protection - i.e. } \\ \text { increased schools } \\ \text { efficiencies }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Department } & +/-232 & & +/-85 & £^{\prime} 000 \mathrm{~s}\end{array}\right]$

[^0]6.4. The Budget modelling will be monitored and refined as the likely position becomes clearer.

## 7. TAKING THE WORK FORWARD

There are a number of strands to develop in bringing about change to ensure we achieve balanced budgets:
7.1. A continued real and focused drive to achieve efficiency in what we do - including business processes, taking advantage of technology and reviewing non-employee spend.

Carmarthenshire
7.2. Establishing what is important to members (and other stakeholders) in terms of what they wish to prioritise and importantly what is not and can be 'given up'/or reduced, recognising that prioritising in one area unavoidably leads to difficult choices elsewhere;
7.3. Demand management which explores all the drivers of demand for our services and asks whether we can reduce or manage that demand by other methods and/or by doing things differently - e.g. earlier intervention in a cycle; changing user expectations of services; use of the third sector/other organisations or alternative delivery models etc;
7.4. Innovation and alternative funding sources - whilst innovation will be evident in all the other strands it is felt useful to also have it as standalone to allow some free thinking to emerge and understand whether that free thinking leads to approaches that may generate further avenues for attracting revenue support or generating income.
7.5. All of the above will involve:
7.5.1. Consideration of alternative delivery models/providers;
7.5.2. Acceptance of lower performance/reduction/cessation in some areas as an acceptable price to prioritise/protect others;
7.5.3. Consideration of alternative and perhaps as yet untapped sources of finance;
7.5.4. Some analysis of the cost/benefit equation of what we currently do and what we would propose to do.
7.5.5. Council will need to implement measures in 2020/21 to increase as far as possible its options in respect of the 2021/22 budget setting process. The aims of these measures would be to partially mitigate the risk of the council not achieving a balanced budget for 2021/22 and would need to be undertaken following consultation with members.
7.6. In considering the budget proposals, members need to take into consideration the requirements of the Wellbeing of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015. The Act requires that we must carry out sustainable development, improving the economic, social environmental and cultural well-being of Wales.


## 8. BUDGET PREPARATION FOR 2021/22

8.1. The financial model tabled above will be updated as and when more information becomes available, key amongst which will be the provisional settlement expected from WG in December 2020. A timetable is provided at Appendix $A$.
8.2. As part of the current Medium Term Financial Plan, Departments are requested to update their efficiency/service rationalisation proposals for 2021/22 and 2022/23 and to consider possible areas to target for 2023/24. To support the process, the Departmental service Director will challenge/draw up the cost reduction proposals in conjunction with:

- Executive Board portfolio member, plus
- Executive Board Portfolio Holder for Resources and/or Deputy Leader.
8.3. Officers will continue to refine the budget projections taking account of inflation factors, the collection rate and reviewing the potential for the use of reserves etc.
8.4. Virtual Member seminars on budget proposals to be convened in January 2021.
8.5. Public consultation on the budget proposals to commence in January 2021. This will not necessarily involve public gatherings, but additional efforts will be made to engage as widely as possible given the circumstances, including the use of virtual meetings, and digital communications.
8.6. Scrutiny Committee consultation in January/February 2021.


## 9. RECOMMENDATION(S)

It is recommended that:
9.1. The Executive Board receives the initial budget outlook and considers the level of Council Tax rises and budget savings that it considers appropriate for developing the Medium Term Financial Plan;
9.2. Executive Board endorse the proposed approach to identifying the required savings
9.3. Executive Board note the proposed approach to the budget consultation

## Medium Term Financial Planning

## 2021/22-2023/24

## Timetable (including consultation \& engagement process)

| Meeting Date | Event / Session | Purpose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 30 \text { November } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Executive Board | Budget outlook report |
| $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { December } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Welsh Government (WG) | PROVISIONAL SETTLEMENT |
| $\begin{aligned} & 18 \text { January } \\ & 2021 \end{aligned}$ | Executive Board | Budget Strategy report with key data and savings proposals <br> Launch public consultation |
| January 2021 | Member Seminars | Departmental Budget Seminars - virtual |
| January February 2021 | Scrutiny Committees (Budget) | Scrutiny Budget consultation - virtual meetings |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { January - } 1 \\ & \text { February } 2021 \end{aligned}$ | Public Consultation / Commercial Rate Payers Consultation | Outline Budget |
| $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { February } \\ & 2021 \end{aligned}$ | Executive Board | Update on Budget, Feedback on Consultation, consider final Budget proposals |
| 2 March 2021 | WG | FINAL SETTLEMENT |
| 3 March 2021 | Full Council | Final decision on budget and Approval of Budget Strategy |
| March 2021 | Public communication of decisions | Public awareness of final budget decisions |
| 10 March 2021 | Full Council | Council Tax Setting report and final decision on budget |
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## Agenda Item 7

## Executive Board

## 30 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ November 2020

## Ombudsman's Annual Letter 2019/20 Carmarthenshire County Council

## Purpose: to receive the Public Services for Wales Annual Letter 2019/20 (the Letter)

Recommendations / key decisions required: to receive the Letter and to assess performance and consider any actions thereupon.
Reasons: Each year the Public Services Ombudsman for Wales (PSOW) provides every Welsh Local Authority with a letter in the form of a fact sheet and accompanying data. It is provided to assist in reviewing performance.
Relevant scrutiny committee to be consulted NA
Exec. Board Decision Required YES
Council Decision Required NO
EXECUTIVE BOARD MEMBER PORTFOLIO HOLDER:- Y Cynghorydd/Councillor Emlyn Dole

| Directorate: | Designations: | Tel: 01267224694 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Name of Head of Service: <br> Linda Rees Jones <br> Report Author: Nigel J Evans | Head of Administration <br> and Law | Email addresses: <br> NEvans@carmarthenshire.gov.uk |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY $30^{\text {th }}$ November 2020

## Ombudsman's Annual Letter 2019/20 Carmarthenshire County Council

1. Annually, the PSOW provides to each County Council a letter in the form of a factsheet with accompanying data. It is provided to assist in reviewing performance.
2. This year's Letter is attached to this summary. Selected items include:

- The impact of the Covid 19 pandemic;
- In May, the Public Services Ombudsman (Wales) Act 2019 received Royal Assent. The Act has a number of new features, but two that the Ombudsman mentions are the new Standards Agency and "own initiative" investigations;
- The overall number of new complaints against Local Authorities has decreased by $2.4 \%$ over the figure for last year;
- In addition, the number of Code of Conduct referred to a Standards Committee or the Adjudication Panel for Wales has also fallen;
- The Ombudsman received 42 complaints against Carmarthenshire. Factsheet A. In terms of population this equated to 0.22 complaints per 1000 residents, with the average for Wales being 0.28 (Carmarthenshire is the fourth most populous county in Wales). Last year the figure of received complaints was 49;
- Factsheet B shows how Carmarthenshire complaints are broken down into subject area. Planning and Housing traditionally generate the largest proportion of complaints. It is also mentioned that the classification of subject area is ascribed by the Ombudsman, so does not take into account service structures at Carmarthenshire. Subject areas may therefore contain individual cases that Carmarthenshire would categorise to a different area of service;
- Factsheet $C$ shows that there were no public interest reports issued against Carmarthenshire, however there were two other complaints upheld. One related to MH and LD and the other Planning. The first was a Children's Services case, where the Ombudsman found that the time taken to complete an investigation was excessive. The Authority provided an apology, a financial redress of $£ 500$ and shared the findings with staff. The second was upheld in part only, the Ombudsman finding that communication with the complainant had been insufficiently clear, leading to delays in process. The Authority provided an apology, a financial redress of $£ 250$, and was required to set out clearly to the complainant what it required to determine the application before it.
- Factsheet D shows to what extent the Ombudsman has intervened in cases. These are cases that are settled, resolved early, or where he has issued a report. Carmarthenshire's figure falls exactly on the average figure of 13\%;
- Code of Conduct figures at E shows the smaller proportion of cases referred to in the Letter, when compared with the position last year. It hopefully also reflects the results of the programme of training delivered by Carmarthenshire officers to Community and Town Councils;


## 3. The Public Services Ombudsman (Wales) Act 2019 and the new Complaints Standards Agency (CSA)

- This new Agency is tasked with gathering data, monitoring performance and promoting improvements;
- Officers from Carmarthenshire met with representatives of the new Standards Agency in November 2019, and since that time have been delivering performance statistics to the Agency on a quarterly basis. The Ombudsman makes reference in the Letter to the data from Welsh Authorities and what it has been showing. The Authority has also arranged three training sessions to be delivered to officers by the Agency. It is also worth mentioning that the Ombudsman has also brought into being a new, all-Wales model complaints policy. This Authority has localised its policy in light of the Ombudsman's model, with a view to formal approval of this draft in due course.


## IMPLICATIONS

I confirm that other than those implications which have been agreed with the appropriate Directors / Heads of Service and are referred to in detail below, there are no other implications associated with this report:

Signed: Head of Administration and Law

|  <br> Disorder and <br> Equalities | Legal | Finance | ICT | Risk <br> Management <br> Issues | Staffing <br> Implications | Physical <br> Assets |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NONE | Yes | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE |

1. Legal - the PSOW Annual Letter asks that the annual letter is presented in order for performance to be reviewed. The Letter is attached to this report.

## CONSULTATIONS

```
I confirm that the appropriate consultations have taken in place and the outcomes are as detailed
below
Signed; Linda Rees-Jones Head of Administration and Law
(Please specify the outcomes of consultations undertaken where they arise against the following headings)
1. Scrutiny Committee
N/A
2.Local Member(s)
N/A
3.Community / Town Council
N/A
4.Relevant Partners
N/A
5.Staff Side Representatives and other Organisations
N/A
\begin{tabular}{l|l}
\hline EXECUTIVE BOARD PORTFOLIO & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Include any observations here - no \\
consultations required. This report is to \\
receive data and information.
\end{tabular} \\
YES & reSE(S) AWARE/CONSULTED
\end{tabular}
```

| Section 100D Local Government Act, 1972 - Access to Information <br> List of Background Papers used in the preparation of this report: <br> THESE ARE DETAILED BELOW |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Title of Document | File Ref No. |
| The PSOW's Annual <br> Letter 2019/20 | CCOM- <br> 881 |
| The PSOW's Annual <br> report 2019/20 | CCOM- <br> 881 |
| https://www.ombudsman.wales/annual-letters/ $/$ www.ombwdsmon.cymru/llythyrau- <br> blynyddol/ |  |

Our ref: NB

Date:
7 September 2020

Councillor Emlyn Dole
Council Leader
Carmarthenshire County Council

Ask for: Communications
01656641150
[8) Communications
@ombudsman-wales.org.uk

By Email Only
EDole@carmarthenshire.gov.uk

Dear Councillor Dole

## Annual Letter 2019/20

I am pleased to provide you with the Annual letter (2019/20) for Carmarthenshire County Council.

I write this at an unprecedented time for public services in Wales and those that use them. Most of the data in this correspondence relates to the period before the rapid escalation in Covid-19 spread and before restrictions on economic and social activity had been introduced. However, I am only too aware of the impact the pandemic continues to have on us all.

I am delighted to report that, during the past financial year, we had to intervene in (uphold, settle or resolve early) a smaller proportion of complaints about public bodies: 20\% compared to 24\% last year.

We also referred a smaller proportion of Code of Conduct complaints to a Standards Committee or the Adjudication Panel for Wales: 2\% compared to 3\% last year.

With regard to new complaints relating to Local Authorities, the overall number has decreased by $2.4 \%$ compared to the previous financial year. I am also glad that we had to intervene in a smaller proportion of the cases closed (13\% compared to $15 \%$ last year). That said, I am concerned that complaint handling persists as one of the main subjects of our complaints again this year.

Amongst the main highlights of the year, in 2019 the National Assembly for Wales (now Senedd Cymru Welsh Parliament) passed our new Act. We are now the first ombudsman's office in the UK to have full and operational powers to drive systemic improvement of public services through investigations on our 'own initiative' and the Complaints Standards role.

During 2019/20, we have engaged intensively with Local Authorities on this issue, starting to exercise our new Complaints Standards powers.

Local Authorities in Wales submitted data about the complaints they handled to the Complaints Standards Authority (CSA) for the first time in 2019/2020, revealing much more about the complaints landscape in Wales.

The data submitted for 2019/2020 shows:

- Over 13,000 complaints were recorded by Local Authorities - 4.25 for every 1000 residents.
- Nearly half (42\%) of those complaints were upheld in full or in part.
- About 80\% (79.51\%) were investigated within 20 working days.
- About 7\% (6.91\%) of all complaints ended up being referred to PSOW.

The CSA will work with public bodies to ensure the data submitted is an accurate representation of complaints being submitted by service users.

A summary of the complaints of maladministration/service failure received relating to your Council is attached.

Also attached is a summary of the Code of Conduct complaints relating to members of the Council and the Town \& Community Councils in your area.

## Action for the Council to take:

- Present my Annual Letter to the Cabinet to assist members in their scrutiny of the Council's performance.
- Engage with my Complaints Standards work, accessing training for your staff and providing complaints data.
- Inform me of the outcome of the Council's considerations and proposed actions on the above matters by 30 November.

This correspondence is copied to the Chief Executive of your Council and to your Contact Officer. Finally, a copy of all Annual Letters will be published on my website.

Yours sincerely


Nick Bennett
Ombudsman
CC: Wendy Walters, Chief Executive Nigel Evans, Contact Officer

## Factsheet

## A. Complaints Received

| Local Authority | Complaints <br> Received | Complaints <br> received per 1000 <br> residents |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Blaenau Gwent County Borough Council | 17 | 0.24 |
| Bridgend County Borough Council | 34 | 0.23 |
| Caerphilly County Borough Council | 49 | 0.27 |
| Cardiff Council | 0.33 |  |
| Carmarthenshire County Council | 122 | 0.22 |
| Ceredigion County Council | 42 | 0.42 |
| Conwy County Borough Council | 31 | 0.25 |
| Denbighshire County Council | 29 | 0.34 |
| Flintshire County Council | 32 | 0.39 |
| Gwynedd Council | 61 | 0.30 |
| Isle of Anglesey County Council | 37 | 0.37 |
| Merthyr Tydfil County Borough Council | 26 | 0.22 |
| Monmouthshire County Council | 13 | 0.17 |
| Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council | 16 | 0.15 |
| Newport City Council | 22 | 0.25 |
| Pembrokeshire County Council | 39 | 0.20 |
| Powys County Council | 25 | 0.54 |
| Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council | 72 | 0.16 |
| Swansea Council | 39 | 0.37 |
| Torfaen County Borough Council | 92 | 0.05 |
| Vale of Glamorgan Council | 5 | 0.23 |
| Wrexham County Borough Council | 30 | 0.24 |
| Wales | 33 | 0.28 |

* inc 1 Rent Smart Wales


## B. Complaints Received by Subject

| Carmarthenshire | Complaints <br> Received | Complaints <br> Percentage <br> Share |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Adult Social Services | 3 | $7.14 \%$ |
| Benefits Administration | 1 | $2.38 \%$ |
| Children's Social Services | 2 | $4.76 \%$ |
| Community Facilities, Recreation and Leisure | 1 | $2.38 \%$ |
| Complaint Handling | 1 | $2.38 \%$ |
| Education | 3 | $7.14 \%$ |
| Environment and Environmental Health | 5 | $11.90 \%$ |
| Housing | 3 | $7.14 \%$ |
| Planning and Building Control | 17 | $40.48 \%$ |
| Roads and Transport | 2 | $4.76 \%$ |
| Various Other | 4 | $9.52 \%$ |

C. Complaint Outcomes
(* denotes intervention)

| Complaints Closed | Prematurel Out of Time/Right to Appeal | Out of Jurisdiction | Other cases closed after initial consideration | Early Resolution/ voluntary settlement* | Discontinued | Other ReportsNot Upheld | Other Reports Upheld in whole or in part* | Public Interest Report * | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carmarthenshire Gqunty Council | 21 | 4 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 46 |
| fercentage Share (1) | 45.65\% | 8.70\% | 30.43\% | 8.70\% | 2.17\% | 0.00\% | 4.35\% | 0.00\% |  |

D. Number of cases with PSOW intervention

|  | No. of interventions | No. of closures | \% of interventions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blaenau Gwent County Borough Council | 1 | 17 | 6\% |
| Bridgend County Borough Council | 1 | 34 | 3\% |
| Caerphilly County Borough Council | 6 | 50 | 12\% |
| Cardiff Council | 21 | 120 | 18\% |
| Cardiff Council - Rent Smart Wales | - | 1 | 0\% |
| Carmarthenshire County Council | 6 | 46 | 13\% |
| Ceredigion County Council | 4 | 30 | 13\% |
| Conwy County Borough Council | 6 | 34 | 18\% |
| Denbighshire County Council | 2 | 32 | 6\% |
| Flintshire County Council | 8 | 57 | 14\% |
| Gwynedd Council | 4 | 39 | 10\% |
| Isle of Anglesey County Council | 3 | 28 | 11\% |
| Merthyr Tydfil County Borough Council | 2 | 15 | 13\% |
| Monmouthshire County Council | 2 | 15 | 13\% |
| Neath Port Talbot Council | 4 | 25 | 16\% |
| Newport City Council | 4 | 38 | 11\% |
| Pembrokeshire County Council | 7 | 29 | 24\% |
| Powys County Council | 14 | 71 | 20\% |
| Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council | 5 | 40 | 13\% |
| Swansea Council | 4 | 93 | 4\% |
| Torfaen County Borough Council | 1 | 5 | 20\% |
| Vale of Glamorgan Council | 4 | 27 | 15\% |
| Wrexham County Borough Council | 4 | 33 | 12\% |
| Grand Total | 113 | 879 | 13\% |

## Code of Conduct Complaints Closed

| County/County <br> Borough Councils | Closed after <br> initial <br> consideration | Discontinued | No evidence <br> of breach | No action <br> necessary | Refer to <br> Standards <br> Committee | Refer to <br> Adjudication <br> Panel | Withdrawn | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carmarthenshire | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |

E. Town/Community Council Code of Complaints

| Town/Community <br> Council | Closed after <br> initial <br> consideration | Discontinued | No evidence <br> of breach | No action <br> necessary | Refer to <br> Standards <br> Committee | Refer to <br> Adjudication <br> Panel | Withdrawn | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Laugharne <br> Township <br> Community Council | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Llangunnor <br> Community Council | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Llangyndeyrn <br> Community Council | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Pembrey \& Burry <br> Port Town Council | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |

## Appendix

## Explanatory Notes

Section A provides a breakdown of the number of complaints against the Local Authority which were received during 2019/20, and the number of complaints per 1,000 residents (population).

Section B provides a breakdown of the number of complaints about the Local Authority which were received by my office during 2019/20. The figures are broken down into subject categories with the percentage share.

Section C provides the complaint outcomes for the Local Authority during 2019/20, with the percentage share.
Section D provides the numbers and percentages of cases received by the PSOW in which an intervention has occurred. This includes all upheld complaints, early resolutions and voluntary settlements.

Section E provides a breakdown of all Code of Conduct complaint outcomes against Councillors during 2019/20.

Section F provides a breakdown of all Code of Conduct complaint outcomes against town or community councils.

## Feedback

We welcome your feedback on the enclosed information, including suggestions for any information to be enclosed in future annual summaries. Any feedback or queries should be sent via email to communications@ombudsman-wales.org.uk

## Agenda Item 8

## EXECUTIVE BOARD

30 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ November, 2020

## Mid-Year Treasury Management and Prudential Indicator Report 1st April 2020 to 30th September 2020

## Recommendations / key decisions required: <br> That the Executive Board considers and approves the report.

## Reasons:

To provide members with an update on the treasury management activities from 1st April 2020 to 30th September 2020.
Relevant scrutiny committee to be consulted YES
Policy \& Resources Scrutiny Committee 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ December 2020
Exec Board Decision Required YES
Council Decision Required YES

| EXECUTIVE BOARD MEMBER PORTFOLIO HOLDER:- CIIr. D.M. Jenkins |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Directorate: Corporate Services | Designation: Director of Corporate | Tel No. 01267 224120; E Mail: <br> CMoore@carmarthenshire.gov.uk |
| Name of Director: Chris Moore | Dervices <br> Ser |  |
| Report Author: Anthony Parnell | Designation: Treasury and Pension <br> Investments Manager | Tel No. 01267 224180; E Mail: <br> AParnel@carmarthenshire.gov.uk |

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY <br> EXECUTIVE BOARD <br> $30^{\text {th }}$ November, 2020 

Mid-Year Treasury Management and Prudential Indicator Report 1st April 2020 to 30th September 2020

1. BRIEF SUMMARY OF PURPOSE OF REPORT.

To provide members with an update on the treasury management activities from 1st April 2020 to 30th September 2020.

## IMPLICATIONS

I confirm that other than those implications which have been agreed with the appropriate Directors / Heads of Service and are referred to in detail below, there are no other implications associated with this report :

Signed: C Moore Director

| Policy, Crime <br> \& Disorder <br> and <br> Equalities | Legal | Finance | ICT | Risk <br> Management <br> Issues | Staffing <br> Implications | Physical <br> Assets |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| YES | NONE | YES | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE |

1. Policy, Crime \& Disorder and Equalities

Within the requirements of the Treasury Management Policy and Strategy Report 2020-2021

## 3. Finance

The authority's investments during the period returned an average return of $0.28 \%$, exceeding the 7 day LIBID rate.

Gross interest earned on investments for the period amounted to $£ 0.209 \mathrm{~m}$ and interest paid on loans was $£ 8.96 \mathrm{~m}$.

The Authority did not breach any of its Prudential Indicators during the period.
At the period end the investments included $£ 0.53 \mathrm{~m}$ of KSF investments.
The administration of KSF is expected to continue for some time again and further updates will be provided in future reports.

## CONSULTATIONS

I confirm that the appropriate consultations have taken in place and the outcomes are as detailed below

Signed: C Moore Director

```
1. Scrutiny Committee
For information to Policy and Resources Scrutiny Committee on the TBC.
2.Local Member(s)
NA
3.Community / Town Council
NA
4.Relevant Partners
NA
5.Staff Side Representatives and other Organisations
NA
```

Section 100D Local Government Act, 1972 - Access to Information
List of Background Papers used in the preparation of this report:
THERE ARE NONE

| Title of Document | File Ref No. | Locations that the papers are available for public inspection |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CIPFA <br> Treasury Management <br> in the Public Services <br> - Code of Practice <br> Revised 2017 |  | County Hall, Carmarthen |

# MID YEAR TREASURY MANAGEMENT AND PRUDENTIAL INDICATOR REPORT 

## $1^{\text {ST }}$ April $2020-30^{\text {TH }}$ September 2020

## A. TREASURY MANAGEMENT REPORT

## 1. Introduction

The Treasury Management Policy and Strategy for 2020-2021 was approved by Council on $3^{\text {rd }}$ March 2020. Section B 1.1(2) stated that Treasury Management activity reports would be made during the year. This report outlines the Treasury Management activities in the period $1^{\text {st }}$ April 2020 to $30^{\text {th }}$ September 2020 and satisfies the reporting requirement stated above.

There are no policy changes to the Treasury Management Policy and Strategy for this period and this report updates the position in light of the updated economic position and budgetary changes already approved.

## 2. Economic update

As expected, the Bank of England's Monetary Policy Committee kept Bank Rate unchanged on $6^{\text {th }}$ August 2020. It also kept unchanged the level of quantitative easing at $£ 745$ bn. Its forecasts were optimistic in terms of three areas:

The fall in GDP in the first half of 2020 was revised from $28 \%$ to $23 \%$ (subsequently revised to $-21.8 \%$ ). This is still one of the largest falls in output of any developed nation. However, it is only to be expected as the UK economy is heavily skewed towards consumer-facing services - an area which was particularly vulnerable to being damaged by lockdown.

The peak in the unemployment rate was revised down from 9\% in Q2 to $7 \frac{1}{2} \%$ by Q4 2020.

It forecast that there would be excess demand in the economy by Q3 2022 causing CPI inflation to rise above the 2\% target in Q3 2022, (based on market interest rate expectations for a further loosening in policy). Nevertheless, even if the Bank were to leave policy unchanged, inflation was still projected to be above 2\% in 2023.

It also squashed any idea of using negative interest rates, at least in the next six months or so. It suggested that while negative rates can work in some circumstances, it would be "less effective as a tool to stimulate the economy" at this time when banks are worried about future loan losses. It also has "other instruments available", including QE and the use of forward guidance.

## 3. Prospects for Interest Rates

Based on the average projection from a number of sources we can expect the trend in base rates over the year to be as follows:

|  | Apr 2020 | Jun 2020 | Sep 2020 | Dec 2020 | Mar 2021 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Rate \% | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 |

(Source: LINK Asset Services)

Link Asset Services undertook its last review of interest rate forecasts on 11 th August 2020 after the Quarterly Inflation Report of the Bank of England and Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) meeting $6^{\text {th }}$ August 2020, where the decision was made to keep the Bank Rate unchanged at $0.10 \%$ due to the ongoing uncertainty surrounding the Coronavirus outbreak.

The revised projection based on this review:

|  | $2020-21$ | $2021-22$ | $2022-23$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Revised Average Bank Rate | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| Original Average Bank Rate <br> (TM Strategy 2020-21) | 0.81 | 1.00 | 1.25 |

## 4. Investments

One of the primary activities of the Treasury Management operation is the investment of surplus cash for which the Authority is responsible. As well as the Authority's own cash the County Council invests School Trust Funds and other Funds, with any interest derived from these investments being passed over to the relevant Fund.

All surplus money is invested daily on the London Money Markets. The security of the investments is the main priority; appropriate liquidity should be maintained and returns on the investments a final consideration. It continues to be difficult to invest these funds as the market continues to be insecure and as a consequence appropriate counterparties are limited.

The total investments at 1st April 2020 and 30th September 2020 analysed between Banks, Building Societies, Local Authorities and Money Market Funds, are shown in the following table:

| Investments | 01.04.20 |  |  |  | 30.09 .20 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Call and notice £m | Fixed <br> Term <br> £m | Total <br> £m | \% | Call and notice £m | Fixed <br> Term <br> £m | Total <br> £m | \% |
| Banks | 19.00 | 0.53 | 19.53 | 27 | 26.00 | 0.53 | 26.53 | 22 |
| Building Societies | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 4 | 0.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 6 |
| Money Market Funds | 12.00 | 0.00 | 12.00 | 16 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 17 |
| DMADF (DMO) | 0.00 | 18.00 | 18.00 | 24 | 0.00 | 33.00 | 33.00 | 28 |
| Local Authorities | 0.00 | 21.00 | 21.00 | 29 | 0.00 | 32.00 | 32.00 | 27 |
| TOTAL | 31.00 | 42.53 | 73.53 | 100 | 46.00 | 72.53 | 118.53 | 100 |

Investments on call are available immediately on demand. Fixed term investments are fixed to a maturity date. The current longest investment is maturing on $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2021.

The $£ 118.53 \mathrm{~m}$ includes $£ 0.53 \mathrm{~m}$ ( $13.33 \%$ of original claim) invested in Kaupthing Singer and Friedlander which has been reduced from the original $£ 4.0 \mathrm{~m}$ by distributions.

During the period the total investments made by the Council and repaid to the Council (turnover) amounted to $£ 1,432.01 \mathrm{~m}$. This averaged approximately $£ 54.78 \mathrm{~m}$ per week or $£ 7.83 \mathrm{~m}$ per day. A summary of turnover is shown below:

|  | £m |
| :--- | :---: |
| Total Investments 1st April 2020 | 73.53 |
| Investments made during the period | 738.50 |
| Sub Total | $\mathbf{8 1 2 . 0 3}$ |
| Investments Repaid during the period | $(693.50)$ |
| Total Investments 30th September 2020 | $\mathbf{1 1 8 . 5 3}$ |

The main aims of the Treasury Management Strategy is to appropriately manage the cash flows of the Council, the required short term and longer term market transactions and the risks associated with this activity. Lending on the money market secures an optimum rate of return and also allows for diversification of investments and hence reduction of risk, which is of paramount importance in today's financial markets.

The benchmark return for the London money market is the " 7 day LIBID rate". For 20202021 the Council has compared its performance against this " 7 day LIBID rate". For the period under review the average " 7 day LIBID rate" was $-0.05 \%$ whereas the actual rate the Council earned was $0.28 \%$, an out performance of $0.33 \%$.

This outperformance can be quantified to £249k additional interest earned compared to the " 7 day LIBID rate".

The gross interest earned on investments for the period amounted to $£ 0.209 \mathrm{~m}$.
The income from investments is used by the Authority to reduce the net overall costs to the Council taxpayer.

## 5. Update on the investments with Kaupthing Singer \& Friedlander (KSF)

As at 30th September 2020 the sum of $£ 3.47 \mathrm{~m}$ principal and $£ 212 \mathrm{k}$ interest had been received from the Administrators, which equates to $86.67 \%$ of the claim submitted. The Administrators estimate total dividends payable to non-preferential creditors at 86.80\%.

A further update will be provided in future reports.

## 6. Security, Liquidity and Yield (SLY)

Within the Treasury Management Strategy Statement for 2020-2021, the Council's investment priorities are:

- Security of Capital
- Liquidity and
- Yield

The Council aims to achieve the optimum return (yield) on investments commensurate with proper levels of security and liquidity. In the current economic climate it is considered appropriate to keep investments short term to cover short term cash flow needs but also to seek out value available in significantly higher rates in periods up to 12 months with highly credit rated financial institutions.

Attached at Appendix 1 is the Investment Summary and Top 10 Counterparty Holdings (excluding the $£ 0.53 \mathrm{~m}$ in KSF) as at $30^{\text {th }}$ September 2020.

## 7. Borrowing

One of the methods used to fund capital expenditure is long term borrowing. The principal lender for Local Authorities is the Public Works Loan Board (PWLB).

Under the Treasury Management Strategy, it was agreed to borrow when interest rates are at their most advantageous.

The total loans at $1^{\text {st }}$ April 2020 and $30^{\text {th }}$ September 2020 are shown in the following table:

| Loans | Balance at <br> $\mathbf{0 1 . 0 4 . 2 0}$ <br> £m | Balance at <br> $\mathbf{3 0 . 0 9 . 2 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{£ m}$ | Net Increase/ <br> (Net Decrease) <br> £m |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public Works Loan Board (PWLB) | 425.42 | 415.38 | $(10.04)$ |
| Market Loan | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 |
| Salix, Invest to Save, HILS \& TCL | 4.11 | 4.11 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{4 3 2 . 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 2 . 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 0 . 0 4 )}$ |

The Salix interest free loans have been provided by an independent publicly funded company dedicated to providing the public sector with loans for energy efficiency projects.

The interest free 'Invest-2-Save' funding is to assist in the conversion of traditional street lighting to LED, which will help deliver a legacy of reduced energy costs and associated carbon taxes.

The Home Improvement Loan Scheme (HILS) repayable funding is provided by the Welsh Government to help individual home owners, small portfolio landlords, developers and charities to improve homes and increase housing supply.

The Town Centre Loan (TCL) repayable funding is provided by the Welsh Government to provide loans to reduce the number of vacant, underutilised and redundant sites and premises in town centres and to support the diversification of the town centres by encouraging more sustainable uses for empty sites and premises, such as residential, leisure and for key services.

### 7.1 New Borrowing

No new loans were borrowed during the period.

### 7.2 Interest Paid

Interest paid on loans during the period was:

| PWLB <br> Interest <br> Paid <br> $\mathbf{£ m}$ | Market Loan <br> Interest <br> Paid <br> $\mathbf{£ m}$ | Total <br> Interest <br> Paid <br> $\mathbf{£ m}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.89 | 0.07 | 8.96 |

## 8. Rescheduling and Premature Loan Repayments

The current economic climate and the consequent structure of interest rates meant that no rescheduling opportunities arose during the period and there were no premature loan repayments.

## 9. Leasing

No leases were negotiated during the period $1^{\text {st }}$ April 2020 to $30^{\text {th }}$ September 2020.

## B. PRUDENTIAL INDICATOR REPORT

## 1. Prudential Indicators

As part of the 2020-2021 Budget and the Treasury Management Policy and Strategy 20202021, the Council adopted a number of Prudential Indicators. These Indicators are designed to ensure that any borrowing or other long-term liabilities entered into for capital purposes were affordable, sustainable and prudent.

The Indicators are required by the Local Government Act 2003 and the Revised Prudential Code of Practice in order to control Capital Finance. The Prudential Code also required that those Prudential Indicators that were forward looking should be monitored and reported. Some of the indicators are monitored by officers monthly, and are only reported if they are likely to be breached, others are to be monitored quarterly by the Executive Board.

### 1.1 Affordability Prudential Indicator

### 1.1.1 Ratio of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream

The indicator set for 2020-2021 in the Budget was:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 0 2 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | $\%$ |
| Non-HRA | 4.74 |
| HRA | 33.93 |

An examination of the assumptions made in calculating this indicator concluded that there have been no changes in this period.

### 1.2 Prudence Prudential Indicators

### 1.2.1 Capital Financing Requirement (CFR)

The Director of Corporate Services reports that no difficulties are envisaged for the current or future years in complying with this prudential indicator.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 0 2 1}$ <br> Estimate <br> $\mathbf{£ m}$ | As at <br> $\mathbf{3 0 . 0 9 . 2 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{£ m}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 0 2 1}$ <br> Forecast <br> $\mathbf{£ m}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Capital Financing Requirement |  |  |  |
| CFR - non housing | 282 | 272 | 272 |
| CFR - housing | 176 | 175 | 175 |
| CFR - housing subsidy buy-out | 71 | 71 | 71 |
| Total CFR | $\mathbf{5 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 8}$ |
|  |  |  |  |

### 1.2.2. Authorised Limit and Operational Boundary

The actual value of loans outstanding must not exceed the Authorised Limit. In normal activity actual loans outstanding should be close but less than the Operational Boundary. The Operational Boundary can be breached in the short term due to adverse cash flows.

|  | Authorised Limit for <br> External Debt |  | Operational Boundary for <br> External Debt |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ |
|  | Estimate <br> $\mathbf{£ m}$ | Forecast <br> £m | Estimate <br> $\mathbf{£ m}$ | Forecast <br> $\mathbf{£ m}$ |
| Borrowing | 568.5 | 568.5 | 516.9 | 516.9 |
| Other Long-Term Liabilities | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 569 | 569 | 517 | 517 |



|  | Apr-20 <br> $\mathbf{£ m}$ | May-20 <br> $\mathbf{£ m}$ | Jun-20 <br> $\mathbf{£ m}$ | Jul-20 <br> $\mathbf{£ m}$ | Aug-20 <br> $\mathbf{£ m}$ | Sep-20 <br> $\mathbf{£ m}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorised Limit | 569 | 569 | 569 | 569 | 569 | 569 |
| Operational Boundary | 517 | 517 | 517 | 517 | 517 | 517 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans Outstanding | 430 | 430 | 430 | 422 | 422 | 422 |

Neither the Authorised Limit nor the Operational Boundary have been breached.

### 2.1 Treasury Management Prudential Indicators

### 2.1.1 Interest Rate Exposure

Position as at $30^{\text {th }}$ September 2020:

|  | Fixed Interest Rate £m | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Variable } \\ \text { Interest Rate } \\ £ m \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL £m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Borrowed | 419.49 | 3.00 | 422.49 |
| Invested | (72.53) | (46.00) | (118.53) |
| Net | 346.96 | (43.00) | 303.96 |
| Limit | 510.00 | 51.00 |  |
| Proportion of Net Borrowing Actual | 114.14\% | (14.14)\% | 100.00\% |
| Limit | 125.00\% | 5.00\% |  |

The authority is within limits set by the 2020-2021 indicators.

### 2.1.2 Maturity Structure of Borrowing

|  | Structure at <br> $\mathbf{3 0 . 0 9 . 2 0}$ <br> $\%$ | Upper <br> Limit <br> $\%$ | Lower <br> Limit <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 12 months | 3.02 | 15 | 0 |
| 12 months to 2 years | 3.73 | 15 | 0 |
| 2 years to 5 years | 6.90 | 50 | 0 |
| 5 years to 10 years | 9.45 | 50 | 0 |
| 10 years to 20 years | 18.31 | 50 | 0 |
| 20 years to 30 years | 18.84 | 50 | 0 |
| 30 years to 40 years | 23.65 | 50 | 0 |
| 40 years and above | 16.10 | 50 | 0 |

The authority is within the limits set by the 2020-2021 indicators.

### 2.1.3 Maximum Principal Sums Invested Longer than 365 Days

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 0 2 1}$ <br> $\mathbf{E m}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Limit | 10 |
| Actual as at $30^{\text {th }}$ September 2020 | NIL |

## RECOMMENDATION

That Executive Board considers and approves the report.
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| Counterparty | Principal | \% of Total <br> Holding | WAM <br> (Days) | WAYield WADefault |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dmadf | $£ 33,000,000$ | $29.73 \%$ | 19 | $0.01 \%$ | $0.001 \%$ |
| 2. Bank of Scotland | $£ 7,000,000$ | $6.31 \%$ | 1 | $0.00 \%$ | $0.000 \%$ |
| 3. Lloyds Bank | $£ 7,000,000$ | $6.31 \%$ | 1 | $0.20 \%$ | $0.000 \%$ |
| 4. Nationwide Building Society | $£ 7,000,000$ | $6.31 \%$ | 4 | $0.16 \%$ | $0.001 \%$ |
| 5. National Westminster Bank | $£ 7,000,000$ | $6.31 \%$ | 26 | $0.03 \%$ | $0.007 \%$ |
| 6. Deutsche Bank | $£ 5,000,000$ | $4.50 \%$ | 1 | $0.01 \%$ | $0.000 \%$ |
| 7. Surrey County Council | $£ 5,000,000$ | $4.50 \%$ | 15 | $0.35 \%$ | $0.001 \%$ |
| 8. Central Bedfordshire Council | $£ 5,000,000$ | $4.50 \%$ | 47 | $0.05 \%$ | $0.002 \%$ |
| 9. Suffolk County Council | $£ 5,000,000$ | $4.50 \%$ | 72 | $0.40 \%$ | $0.003 \%$ |
| 10. Kirklees Council | $£ 5,000,000$ | $4.50 \%$ | 15 | $0.10 \%$ | $0.001 \%$ |



| Totals |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $£ 118,000,000$ |  |
| Calls \& MMFs | $£ 39,000,000$ | $33 \%$ |
| Fixed Deposits | $£ 79,000,000$ | $67 \%$ |
| Specified | $£ 118,000,000$ | $100 \%$ |


| Weighted Average |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Yield <br> Maturity (Days) <br> Total Portfolio | Total Portfolio | 28.96 |
| Long Term | Short Term |  |
| AAA | - | 1.00 |
| AA | F1 | 48.82 |
| A | F1 | 6.79 |
| BBB | F2 | 0.00 |
| CCC | C |  |
| 1 1 year | $£ 2,026$ | $0.002 \%$ |
| $1-2$ years | $£ 0$ | $0.000 \%$ |
| $2-3$ years | $£ 0$ | $0.000 \%$ |
| $3-4$ years | $£ 0$ | $0.000 \%$ |
| $4-5$ years | $£ 0$ | $0.000 \%$ |
| Total Portfolio | $£ 2,026$ | $0.002 \%$ |


| Maturity Structure |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $<\mathbf{1}$ Week | $£ 49,000,000$ | $42 \%$ |
| $<1$ Month | $£ 46,000,000$ | $39 \%$ |
| $2-3$ Months | $£ 11,000,000$ | $9 \%$ |
| 3 -6 Months | $£ 12,000,000$ | $10 \%$ |
| $6-9$ Months | $£ 0$ | $0 \%$ |
| $9-12$ Months | $£ 0$ | $0 \%$ |
| 12 Months + | $£ 0$ | $0 \%$ |
| Total | $£ 118,000,000$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

## Agenda Item 9

## Executive Board <br> November 30 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2020$

## Subject- Variation of the Regional Consortia's Legal Agreement (ERW)

Purpose: For the Executive Board to consider the Variation of the Legal Agreement to enable interim services to be provided to Neath Port Talbot schools, remaining Authorities withdraw and for dissolution of ERW.

Recommendations / key decisions required: To agree to the variation of ERW's Legal Agreement in relation to-

1. To agree changes to the ERW Legal Agreement to facilitate provision of agreed services to Neath Port Talbot schools during 2020/21 (as set out in the draft Deed of Variation - Appendix 1);
2. To agree that changes are made to the Legal Agreement, to:
i. facilitate dissolution/termination of the ERW Consortium,
ii. Address any subsequent liabilities/indemnities of all present (and former)

Authorities.
iii. facilitate a reduced notice of withdrawal period to 3 months.
(as set out in the draft amended clause 15 - Appendix 2)
3. Delegates authority to the Chief Legal Officer and Director of Education to make any necessary changes to the Legal Agreement (in consultation with the other ERW partners) and to enter into any documentation necessary to implement any of the recommendations in this report and to protect the Council's interests.

## Reasons:

1. To enable remaining authorities to give notice to withdraw,
2. To vary the Legal agreement
3. To provide services to NPT.
4. The Legal Agreement does not offer clarity in how the consortium can be dissolved.
5. Facilitate dissolution/termination of the ERW Consortium.
6. Provide clarity in relation to any subsequent liabilities/indemnities of all present (and former) Authorities.
Relevant scrutiny committee to be consulted NA
Exec. Board Decision Required YES

Council Decision Required NA
EXECUTIVE BOARD MEMBER PORTFOLIO HOLDER: Cllr Emlyn Dole
Directorate: Education and
Children's Services
Name of Director / Head of Service: Gareth Morgans

Report Author: Gareth Morgans

Designations:
Director of Education and
Children's Services

Tel:
Email addresses:
EDGMorgans@sirgar.gov.uk

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY EXECUTIVE BOARD November 30 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2020$ 

## Variation of Legal Agreement to School Improvement through Regional Working (ERW)

ERW is an alliance of six local authorities created to deliver school improvement services across Mid and South West Wales. ERW is governed by a Joint Committee bound by a Legal Agreement.

The Executive Board on the 16th of March agreed the following-
a) That the Swansea Bay City Deal footprint be considered as the preferred option for Carmarthenshire.
b) That Carmarthenshire County Council issues Notice to Withdraw from ERW
c) That subject to the provisions of the Legal Agreement a one year transition period to 2020/21 be agreed
d) That the Director of Education and Children's Services, the Executive Board Member for Education and Children's Services, in liaison with the Leader and Chief Executive Officer develop the preferred model and ascertain the impact of any changes (including legal, HR and financial), subject to the provisions of the Legal Agreement.

Decisions a) and d) remain outstanding and will need to be implemented before $31 / 3 / 21$, to facilitate Carmarthenshire leaving ERW at the end of the financial year. We will need to ensure a suitable alternative provision to the current Consortium to provide support for Carmarthenshire's schools.

Recent developments within the ERW Consortium and Joint Committee decisions also need to be taken into account, including:

- Neath Port Talbot left ERW on 31/3/20.
- Ceredigion and Swansea County Councils have also given notice to leave ERW.
- Powys and Pembrokeshire County Councils have not given notice.

On the 13th of November ERW's Joint Committee considered two reports on proposed changes to the ERW Legal agreement subject to approval being obtained from each of ERW's local authorities.

Report 1- To facilitate provision of agreed services to Neath Port Talbot schools during 2020/21.
The Joint Committee agreed:

1. the proposed changes to the Legal Agreement to enable ERW to provide Neath Port Talbot schools with access to agreed services as detailed within the variation report, subject to the assurance of no costs falling on ERW (save for legal costs incurred in amending the Legal Agreement).
2. to the preparation of a separate legal agreement between ERW and NPT

A draft Deed of Variation between the remaining ERW authorities setting out the services to be provided to NPT considered by the Joint Committee is shown at Appendix 1.

## Report 2- To make changes to the Joint Legal Agreement in accordance with Clause 25 Variation.

Clause 25 states that ERW can recommend changes to the Legal Agreement by giving notice to each partner authority. Each authority shall, on receipt of a notice, use all reasonable endeavours to consider within 6 weeks of such receipt, whether to accept the recommendation. If all the authorities agree to the recommended changes, a memorandum of variation shall be prepared on behalf of each authority and appended to the Agreement.
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The Joint Committee recommended:

1. to each Authority, in accordance with Variation clause (Clause 25) of the ERW Joint Legal Agreement (2014) ("Legal Agreement"), that changes are made to the Legal Agreement, to:
i. facilitate dissolution/termination of the ERW Consortium,
ii. address any subsequent liabilities/indemnities of all present (and former) Authorities.
iii. facilitate a reduced notice of withdrawal period to 3 months.
2. That notice in writing is given to each Authority of Recommendation 1 (above)

The Joint Committee also considered a Consortium Update report and recommended that Leaders should meet to discuss issues surrounding ERW, including dissolution/termination date.

## Current position

The Legal Agreement does not contain an appropriate exit (dissolution/termination) clause. In order to implement the ERW Joint Committee decisions, and in particular, to facilitate dissolution/termination of ERW it is necessary for the ERW Legal Agreement to be varied.

The Executive Boards/Cabinets of each Authority will need to consider the proposed variations to the Legal Agreement and advise ERW if they are supportive of the proposals.

The draft variation proposals are in relation to clause 15 Withdrawal and Indemnity for Consequences of Withdrawal of the Legal Agreement are shown at Appendix 2.

This includes an amendment to clause 15.1 in order to reduce the withdrawal notice period from 12 months to 3 months. It also proposes a new clause 15.6 to facilitate withdrawal, address liabilities, indemnities and costs.

The draft variation proposals have not (yet) been agreed by respective Heads of Legal. It was necessary to wait until the ERW joint committee had made its recommendations on 13/11/20.

If agreed, upon receipt of a notice to withdraw from all (remaining) authorities the changes will enable the Legal Agreement "to determine" (i.e. for ERW to be dissolved). The current proposal is that this should take place at the end of the 2020/21 financial year (i.e. 31/3/21). This timeline may change depending on the agreements reached by respective Leaders as the $31^{\text {st }}$ of August has been suggested as an alternative implementation date.

In order that Powys and Pembrokeshire County Councils may give notice to withdraw (should they wish to do so), and for this to be effective from 31/3/21 (rather than $31 / 3 / 22$ ), that notice must be issued by 31/12/20.

The final version of the Local Government \& Elections (Wales) Bill has still not been published. The Bill includes reference to Corporate Joint Committees (CJC) to oversee several regional functions, including School Improvement.

| DETAILED REPORT ATTACHED? | NO |
| :---: | :---: |

## IMPLICATIONS

I confirm that other than those implications which have been agreed with the appropriate Directors / Heads of Service and are referred to in detail below, there are no other implications associated with this report:

Signed:


Director of Education and Children's Services

|  <br> Disorder and <br> Equalities | Legal | Finance | ICT | Risk <br> Management <br> Issues | Staffing <br> Implications | Physical <br> Assets |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NONE | YES | YES | NONE | YES | YES | YES |

## Legal

In order to implement the decisions of ERW's Joint Committee the current Legal Agreement needs to be revised specifically in providing specific services to NPT schools; changing the notice period and clarifying dissolution and termination issues.

## Finance

The Legal Agreement specifies clearly the financial responsibility on each partner should they leave ERW. The revised Legal Agreement will clarify matters even further. There could be additional costs in relation to current liabilities and staff redundancies which will have to be borne by all partners authorities.

## Risk Management

The current consortium provides our schools wit support and services currently not provided by the local authority. Should ERW cease to exist we will have to ensure our schools are still able to access support for Professional Learning and Research, Leadership, Curriculum, Digital Skills, Equity and Well-being and Welsh.

## Staffing Implications

Although we're not the direct employer, as a partner in ERW we have a responsibility to the staff currently employed by the entity and their wellbeing. Should we move to an alternative model we will have to follow the HR advice and guidance in line with Pembrokeshire County Council's policies (As lead Authority) to ensure that staff are dealt with fairly.

## Physical Assets

ERW's current base is Y Llwyfan and it has an agreement with the University of Wales Trinity St David's. In addition the consortium has numerous contracts and owns furniture and technology.

## CONSULTATIONS

I confirm that the appropriate consultations have taken in place and the outcomes are as detailed below

Signed:
Director of Education and Children's Services

1. ERW Scrutiny Committee- will be consulted with on the $3^{\text {rd }}$ of December, 2020
4.Relevant Partners- All partner County Councils will be part of this discussion.
5.Staff Side Representatives and other Organisations- there will be appropriate consultation with staff and their trade unions.

| EXECUTIVE BOARD PORTFOLIO |
| :---: |
| HOLDER(S) AWARE/CONSULTED |
| YES |

The portfolio Member is aware of the developments and is supportive of a new partnership to deliver school improvement.

Section 100D Local Government Act, 1972 - Access to Information List of Background Papers used in the preparation of this report:

THESE ARE DETAILED BELOW

| Title of Document | File Ref <br> No. | Locations that the papers are available for public <br> inspection |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Appendix 1 | Attached | Available as part of this pack. |
| ERW's Legal <br> Agreement | ERW LAg | Available from our Legal Department |
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## Agenda Item 10

## Executive Board

### 30.11.20

## Local Development Order - Cross Hands East

## Purpose:

To consider the potential for a Local Development Order to be made within Cross Hands East.

## Recommendations / key decisions required:

That the proposed Local Development Order be considered through the democratic reporting process.

## Reasons:

- To support the corporate regeneration objective of promoting Cross Hands East as a strategic employment site.
- To ensure that that the planning process for development at Cross Hands East is stream lined utilising National Planning Policy.
- To support the vision of a sustainable employment site.

Relevant scrutiny committee to be consulted N/A
Exec Board Decision Required YES

Council Decision Required NO
EXECUTIVE BOARD MEMBER PORTFOLIO HOLDER:-
Cllr David Jenkins and Cllr Mair Stephens
Directorate
Name of Head of Service:
Jason Jones
Llinos Quelch
Report Author:
Jason Jones
Ian Llewelyn

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Designations: |  |
| Head of Regeneration | Jaiones@carmarthenshire.gov.uk <br> Head of Planning <br>  <br> Head of Regeneration <br> Forward Planning Manager <br> LQuelch@carmarthenshire.gov.uk |
| 01267228918 |  |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Local Development Order - Cross Hands East

## What is an LDO?

An LDO is made by a Local Planning Authority (LPA) and grants planning permission for the type of development and within the spatial area specified within the LDO. It offers an LPA the opportunity, under particular circumstances, to streamline the planning process by removing the need for developers/applicants to make a planning application to the LPA. This can allow an LPA to act proactively in response to locally specific circumstances within their area. As stated above, it should relate to a geographical area, reflect the focused purpose of the LDO and the nature of its intended outcomes. An LDO may also be permanent or time limited depending on its objective, in this respect, a time limited LDO provides for increased flexibility in fast changing and developing areas allowing for easy revision and updating, or to deliver a set objective over a fixed timescale.

Certain types of development, as set out within the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995 (PDO), are already permitted without the need for planning permission. The PDO grants a general permission for various types of relatively small scale and normally non-contentious development without the need to make a planning application. LDOs can therefore be seen as an extension of permitted development but decided upon locally in response to specific local circumstances.

LPAs can revoke an LDO at any time. Where it is proposed to modify a Local Development Order, re-consultation may be required.

The Council has recently made an LDO covering Llanelli town centre that is proving successful and allows specified changes of use to take place without the applicant having to apply for planning permission. This is currently the only adopted LDO in the County.

## Rationale for an LDO at Cross Hand East

Cross Hands East Strategic Employment Site is a regionally significant employment location in Carmarthenshire and an important part of the Cross Hands Growth Zone. The success of other sites across Carmarthenshire and the region mean that very few serviced plots are now available for development other than those in Cross hands. This site will therefore play a key role in the success of the economy of Carmarthenshire and West Wales. The site is well placed as a location that can be considered the gateway to West Wales along the A48 and to the north and south along the A476. The site is in planning terms strategically located within the Ammanford/Cross Hands Growth Areas and is identified for employment use both within the current adopted LDP as well as the emerging Revised LDP. It is recognised that it forms an important component of the Plan's strategic employment aspirations, with its delivery important in providing the growth and job creation objectives for the area. The site is managed via a Joint Venture between the County Council and Welsh Government that has facilitated implementation of Phase 2 infrastructure via ERDF funding.

The site, shown edged black on attached plan, has major potential to deliver economic benefit for the region due to its location and strategic transport links. The council's promotion of Cross Hands as a growth zone includes infrastructure development. The site will further benefit from the completion of a new link road to improve local highway infrastructure and
facilitate new development and growth. The new road, when fully completed, will be a catalyst to development and a Local Development Order (LDO) will help deliver the Council's intentions for the site and meet its Economic Development aspirations of increasing GDP in the County. The production of a LDO would be an integrated approach to regeneration which incorporates a proactive and enabling approach to the planning process. The site is also a key feature of the Swansea Bay City Region's strategy to create a strong network of 10 key interconnected centres to deliver the highest quality sites.

The Cross Hands East site is a significant opportunity to meet these needs, providing 19 acres of developable land, which would accommodate some 300,000sqft of modern business space. Development of this scale would create in the region of 1,000 new jobs. Demand for industrial and business space in Cross Hands and the County is high with occupancy rates in the Council's Industrial portfolio consistently over 90\%. Vacancy periods are short and there are waiting lists for many estates, especially those in Cross Hands. Most of the 70 or so units in Cross Hands can be considered as starter workshops and few estates offer opportunities for expansion and growth. The site will give many companies an opportunity to expand and the site will draw interest from the County and beyond.

The proposed LDO as a mechanism to enable the delivery of the above and growth aspirations of the LDP will deliver structured, managed and branded approach to development of the site to deliver a consistent development approach and a coordinated landscaping theme, creating a high-quality environment that will enable businesses to flourish. The LDO would also reinforce the vision to create a sustainable industrial park that contributes positively to the Wellbeing of Future Generations Act. The site infrastructure provided to date has embraced the green infrastructure ethos through design, installation of a sustainable drainage system and ecological enhancement. Future development proposals within the plots created will be required to address their impact at the earliest stage through design and layout, use of materials and technology as well as adoption of operational features to maximise resource efficiency and reduce carbon emissions.

The Joint Venture is currently working with Welsh Government and the Active Building Centre (Swansea University) to develop self build mixed use proposals for the site's gateway plot (Plot 3). The proposed development would comprise buildings that adopt 'Active Building' principles in terms of their design and energy use to house a mix of offices, hybrid and light industrial activities. This will be the first commercial/industrial building in Wales to be developed via the Active Building principles and will be seeking to deliver carbon neutral or carbon positive outcomes. The lessons learned over the next 6-12 months will inform the future design framework for the site.

Preference will be given to the following key sectors that the Welsh Government has identified as having growth potential and are seen as being strategically important to the competitiveness of Carmarthenshire and Wales:

1. Advanced manufacturing
2. Creative Sector
3. Food and Food Agri-technology
4. Energy Sector
5. Financial and Professional
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This reinforcement of the current and proposed planning policy is required to facilitate investment in Cross Hands East to enable 'footloose' occupier requirements, that often specify a need for occupation within a short timescale, to be accommodated within Carmarthenshire. An LDO approach, under a permissive planning regime in this location, linked to broader strategic planning and regeneration initiatives would allow development to satisfy appropriate occupier requirements, without the need for planning permission. This would further enhance and promote the location as a strategic opportunity that could be delivered to satisfy requirements. This approach accords with Arup's Two County Study (Sept 2019) - produced to support the production of the Revised LDP - providing a basis on which to plan future provision of strategic land and premises for economic activity across Carmarthenshire and Pembrokeshire. The study identifies Cross Hands as a larger than local strategic employment site and also recognises that opportunities are potentially being missed as a result of the time taken to gain necessary permissions for development, Arup suggest that "In recognising the demands of some key employees in the area and in an effort to increase the attractiveness of sites for investment, further work / analysis should be undertaken in relation to the potential role of LDO's in locations where the end use of the site is known". In this regard the provisions of the Revised LDP support such a proactive approach as a mechanism to drive delivery.

## Evidential Requirements to support an LDO

Highways - Further clarity may be needed to address any concerns around capacity at the roundabout and to establish any requirements around active travel.

Ecology - The Caeau Mynydd Mawr contribution will need to be confirmed. It should, however, be noted that this may have already been accounted for as part of the original permission and delivery of site infrastructure.

Potential for Section 7 Habitat - There would be a requirement to understand the potential impact and address any mitigation requirements that may arise. This would require further evidence gathering to inform what, if anything, would be required.

Dŵr Cymru/Welsh Water - Identify any capacity issues that may exist. Whilst there are at present no issues being raised this would need to be considered as part of any infrastructure assessment. Any infrastructure assessment would also need to consider land management issues around flood risk, drainage and utilities.

Design Parameters - To ensure that development reflects the Council's ambitions in terms of quality, appearance and scale but also incorporate Green Infrastructure as a basic and fundamental starting point.

## Financial Implications

It is estimated that that the cost of all necessary supporting studies and evidence gathering would be in the region of $£ 50,000$.

In addition, if the proposal for an LDO at Cross Hands East was adopted then this would result in a loss of Planning Application fee income for the Authority. The estimated floorspace that could be developed at Cross Hands East, on a phased basis, is 300,000 sq.ft. $(27,870$ sq.m.) Based on a current cost of $£ 380$ per 75 sqm this should work out around $£ 141,000$ in planning fees over a provisional 15-year delivery period for the whole site. It should be noted
that there is a current consultation ongoing in relation to increasing the planning application fees - therefore this figure is likely to rise by circa $20 \%$.

## The Process

The procedural requirements for preparing a LDO are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (Wales) Order 2012 (as amended). Article 27 of the Order sets out the requirements for formal consultation on the draft LDO along with a statement of the authority's reasons for making the order, which should outline a description of the developments which the order would permit and a plan or statement identifying the land to which the order would relate.

Approval is therefore sought for officers to develop and produce a Draft LDO for Cross Hands East through the production, collation and consideration of additional evidence, as set out above; to produce a draft LDO; and, to undertake a formal public consultations ( 6 weeks) as set out in legislation, as well as additional informal consultations to inform and guide its preparation.

The adoption of a LDO would augment, but also where appropriate supersede aspects of current local planning policy as set out in the adopted LDP.

The Draft LDO and its statement of reasons along with the responses received as part of the public consultation, and any proposed amendments will be reported to Council for its consideration and determination and resolution to adopt. Following resolution the documentation will be presented to the Welsh Ministers for their approval to adopt the LDO.

## Recommendation

It is recommended that Council officers are authorised to:

1. Commission necessary evidence as appropriate to support the proposed LDO;
2. Prepare a draft LDO for Cross Hands East and its Statement of Reasons:
3. Formally subject the draft LDO to the statutory consultation process; and
4. Report back though the democratic process in order that Council can consider whether approval should be sought from The Welsh Government, in accordance with established provisions, to adopt the LDO.

DETAILED REPORT ATTACHED ?

I confirm that other than those implications which have been agreed with the appropriate Directors / Heads of Service and are referred to in detail below, there are no other implications associated with this report :

Signed: Jason Jones Head of Regeneration

| Policy, Crime <br> \& Disorder <br> and <br> Equalities | Legal | Finance | ICT | Risk <br> Management <br> Issues | Staffing <br> Implications | Physical <br> Assets |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| YES | YES | YES | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE |

## 1. Policy, Crime \& Disorder and Equalities

Reflects the provisions of National Planning Policy. It also ensures alignment with the national Well-being Goals set out within the Well-being of Future Generations Act 2015. National and local planning policies seek to promote the principles of sustainability and sustainable development by facilitating the creation of communities and local economies which are more sustainable.

The integration of sustainability and the evidential requirements in preparing the LDO, as appropriate, ensures an iterative approach to policy making which ensures sustainability is at its heart and that it is reflective of the requirements emanating from the Wellbeing and Future Generations Act.

## 2. Legal

A Local Planning Authority may issue an LDO under section 61 (A, B, C and D) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, as inserted by section 40(1) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and amended by sections 188 and 238 and Schedule 13 of the Planning Act 2008. This power became effective in Wales on 30 April 2012. The Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (Wales) Order 2012 (DMPWO) sets out the procedural requirements for making LDOs, including preparation, notices, publicity, consultation, and adoption.

## 3. Finance

Financial costs (including preparation of the LDO) will be covered by the Cross Hands Joint Venture.

If the proposal for an LDO at Cross Hands East was adopted, then this would result in a loss of Planning Application fee income for the Authority. The loss of income is estimated to be in the region of $£ 141,000$ over a provisional 15 -year delivery period for the whole site. It should be noted that there is a current consultation ongoing in relation to increasing the planning application fees - therefore this figure is likely to rise by circa $20 \%$.

This loss of income will be offset by the economic and regeneration benefits accrued through the successful implementation of the LDO in terms of job creation and increased business rates income that the Council will indirectly receive from the Welsh Government.

## CONSULTATIONS

I confirm that the appropriate consultations have taken in place and the outcomes are as detailed below

Signed: Jason Jones Head of Regeneration

## 1. Scrutiny Committee

Subject to Executive Board endorsement of the report recommendations, relevant Committee will be consulted at the next stage of the process once the draft LDO has been the subject of consultation.

## 2.Local Member(s)

Local Members have been consulted. Members are Cllr E Dole, Cllr D Jones, Cllr D Price, cllr A Scourfield, Cllr D Thomas.

## 3.Community / Town Council

Will be consulted as part of any future formal consultation on the draft LDO.

## 4.Relevant Partners

Will be consulted as part of any future formal consultation on the draft LDO. Additional informal consultations may be undertaken to inform the preparation of the draft LDO as appropriate.

## 5.Staff Side Representatives and other Organisations

Informal consultations may be undertaken to inform the preparation of the draft LDO as appropriate.

## Section 100D Local Government Act, 1972 - Access to Information

List of Background Papers used in the preparation of this report:

## THERE ARE NONE

| Title of Document | File Ref No. | Locations that the papers are available for public inspection |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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[^0]:    ** Based on assumption that no savings target is applied to school budgets

